

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. Box 1450
Aleyandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

	T			0011717111111
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,092	02/12/2002	Darpan Dinker	5681-05700	6704
7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Robert C. Ko	owert	DUONG, OANH L		
Conley, Rose,	, & Tayon, P.C.			
P.O. Box 398			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, TX 78767			2155	

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/074,092	DINKER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Oanh Duong	2155	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 24 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note; If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument is not persuasive (see attached). 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 03/27/2006 13. Other: . .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/074,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/24/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that

(A) Prior Art teaches away from the claims.

As to point (A), A prior art reference must be considered in its entirety, i.e., as a whole, including portions that would lead away from the claimed invention. W.L. Gore & Associates, Inc. v.Garlock, Inc., 721 F.2d 1540, 220 USPQ 303 (Fed. Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 469U.S. 851 (1984).

In this case, AAPA teaches structures and functions of in-process nodes and out-process node. Jin teaches system includes in-process node(s) and out-process node(s). One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the teachings of AAPA and Jin to have a system that includes both in-process node(s) and out-process node(s) wherein each in-process node and out-process node haves structures and functions as taught by AAPA.

(B) Prior art does not teach or suggest an in-process node of a distributed data system, wherein the in-process node comprises a client and a distributed data manager configured to execute with the same process.

As to point (B), Jin teaches in-process node of a distributed data system (col. 6 lines 42-57). And AAPA further teaches the in-process node comprises a client and a distributed data manager configured to execute with the same process (Fig. 2 page 4 lines 12-18).

(C) Prior art does not teach "non-serialized format" and 'serialized format" for communication with different entities by a distributed data manager of an in-process node.

As to point (C), AAPA teaches "non-serialized format" and 'serialized format" for communication with different entities by a distributed data manager of an in-process node (page 4 lines 12-18).

Therefore, examiner asserts that cited prior arts teach or suggest the subject matter broadly cited in independent 1, 17, and 32. Dependent claims 2-16, 18-31, and 33 are also rejected at least by virtue of their dependency on independent claims and by other reason set forth in the previous office action.

Accordingly, claim 1-33 are respectfully rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,092

Art Unit: 2155

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

Page 3

be directed to Oanh Duong whose telephone number is (571)272-3983. The examiner can normally be

reached on M-F, 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

O.D

June 09, 2006